UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,300	03/29/2007	Greg Charache	14564-021US1	8947
<sup>26161</sup> FISH & RICHA	7590 03/13/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			NGUYEN, PHILLIP	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/597,300	CHARACHE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PHILLIP NGUYEN	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this commu  0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the me	erits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>part</i> e <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9,13-18,20,21,23-26,28,35-41</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9,13-18,20,21,23-26,28,35-41</u>	n from consideration.		quirement.		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	: 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/597,300

Art Unit: 2828

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

I. Claims 2 and 68

II. Claim 3

III. Claim 4

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

In other words, volume diffractive grating, interference filter, and photonic bandgap crystal are not usable together for the claimed reflector.

After applicant elects one of those species, applicant is further required to elect one of the following sub-species:

1. Claim 6

2. Claims 25 and 26

The sub-species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

In other words, the reflector cannot be both in contact with the laser diode and separated by a lens.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,300 Page 3

Art Unit: 2828

After applicant elects one of those sub-species, applicant is further required to elect one of following sub-species:

A. Claim 13

B. Claims 14 and 65.

The reflector cannot be configured to focus the light from the laser diode both along a slow axis and fast axis of the laser diode.

After applicant elects one of those sub-species, applicant is further required to elect one of following sub-species:

a. Claim 53

b. Claim 54

c. Claim 55

The active medium cannot be a rod laser, a disk laser, and a fiber laser at the same time.

After applicant elects one of those sub-species, applicant is further required to elect one of following sub-species:

d. Claim 56

e. Claim 57

f. Claim 58

The reflectivity of the output facet cannot be between about 0.1% to 20%, less than about 10%, and less than about 3%. If 0 (zero) or 0.05 is less than 3% or 10% but it is not in the range of 0.1% to 20%.

After applicant elects one of those sub-species, applicant is further required to elect one of following sub-species:

Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

g. Claim 61

h. Claim 62

j. Claim 63

The first and second diode laser cannot be arranged such that beams are combined in series, parallel, and geometrically at the same time.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 7, 9, 15-18, 20-21, 23-24, 28, 35-37, 40-41, 43-52, 60, 64, 66-67 are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 2828

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

It is further noted that claims 46 and claim 48 are identical to each other. Applicant is advised to cancel either claim or change either its dependency.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,300

Art Unit: 2828

Communication Information

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phillip Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1947. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, MINSUN HARVEY, can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Phillip Nguyen/

AU 2828

/Minsun Harvey/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2828